# Logical Framework Template

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **LOGICAL FRAMEWORK** | | | | |
| *This section refers to the project/programme’s logical framework in accordance with the* [***GCF’s Integrated Results Management Framework***](https://www.greenclimate.fund/document/integrated-results-management-framework) *to which the project/programme contributes as a whole, including in respect of any co-financing.* | | | | |
| **1. GCF Impact level: Paradigm shift potential (max. 300 words)** | | | | |
| *This section of the logical framework is meant to help a project/programme monitor and assess how it contributes to the paradigm shift described in section D.2 above by applying three assessment dimensions - scale, replicability, and sustainability.*  *Accordingly, for each assessment dimension (see the definition per assessment in the accompanying guidance note), describe the current state (baseline) and the potential scenario (target) and rate the current state (baseline) by using the three-point-scale rating (low, medium, and high) provided in the guidance note. Also describe how the project/programme will contribute to that shift/ transformation under respective assessment dimensions (scale, replicability and sustainability). In doing so, please refer to section D.2 (paradigm shift potential).* | | | | |
| **Assessment Dimension** | **Current state (Baseline)** | | **Potential target scenario**  **(Description)** | **How the project/programme will contribute (Description)** |
| **Description** | **Rating** |
| **Scale** |  | Choose an item. |  | *Describe key applicable outputs and or resulting outcomes relevant to increasing (scaling up) quantifiable results within and beyond the scope of the intervention.* |
| **Replicability** |  | Choose an item. |  | *Describe key applicable outputs and resulting outcomes that will be replicated to other sectors, markets, geographical regions, or countries.* |
| **Sustainability** |  | Choose an item |  | *Describe key applicable outputs and resulting outcomes that will be sustained beyond the project/programme period.* |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **2.1. GCF Outcome level: Reduced emissions and increased resilience (IRMF core indicators 1-4, quantitative indicators)** | | | | | | |
| *Select appropriate IRMF core and supplementary indicators to monitor project/programme progress. More than one IRMF (core and or supplementary) indicators may be selected as applicable for each GCF results area and project/programme outcome (as defined in the table in section B.2.2). If IRMF indicators are unable to measure any given project/programme outcomes, project/programme-specific indicators should be developed under section 3 (“Project/programme specific indicators”).* | | | | | | |
| **GCF Result Area** | **IRMF**  **Core Indicators (1-4)**[[1]](#footnote-2) | **Means of Verification (MoV)** | **Baseline** | **Target** | | **Assumptions / Note** |
| **Mid-term** | **Final[[2]](#footnote-3)** |
| Choose an item. | Choose appropriate indicators and supplementary indicators | *Sources of information and methods used to collect and report data /information to measure progress against targets* | *The starting point or current value of the indicators before the implementation of the project* | *The estimated value of the indicator at the mid-point of the implementation* | *The estimated value of the indicator at the completion of the implementation* | *Externalities and factors outside project management's control that may impact the outcomes*  *Data sources and methodologies applied for estimating baseline and targets* |
| Choose an item. | Choose appropriate indicators and supplementary indicators |  |  |  |  |  |
| Choose an item. | Choose appropriate indicators and supplementary indicators |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **2.2. GCF Outcome level: Enabling environment (IRMF core indicators 5-8 as applicable)** | | | | | |
| *Select at least two relevant IRMF core (enabling environment) indicators to monitor and elaborate the baseline context and project/programme’s targeted outcome against the respective indicators. Rate the current state (baseline) vis-à-vis the target scenario and select the geographical scope of the outcome to be assessed. Describe how the project/programme will contribute towards the target scenario. Refer to a case example in the accompanying guidance to complete this section.* | | | | | |
| **IRMF Core Indicators (5-8)**[[3]](#footnote-4) | **Baseline context**  **(Description)** | **Rating for current state (Baseline)** | **Target scenario**  **(Description)** | **How the project will contribute** | **Coverage** |
| Choose an item. |  | Choose an item. |  |  | Choose an item. |
| Choose an item. |  | Choose an item. |  |  | Choose an item. |
| Choose an item. |  | Choose an item. |  |  | Choose an item. |
| Choose an item. |  | Choose an item. |  |  | Choose an item. |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **3. Project/programme specific indicators (project outcomes and outputs)** | | | | | | | | | |
| *This section should list out project/programme-specific performance indicators (outcomes and outputs) that are not covered in sections above (1-2). List down tailored indicators to monitor /track progress against relevant project/programme results (outcomes/outputs). AEs have the freedom to decide against which outcomes they would like to set project/programme specific indicators. If any co-benefits are identified in sections B.2.2, and D.3, AEs are encouraged to add and monitor co-benefit indicators under the “****Project/programme co-benefit indicators****” section in table below. Add rows as needed.*  *Please number each outcome and output as shown below to indicate association of outputs to the contributing outcome. The numbering for outputs under this section should correspond to the output numbering in annex 3 (budget plan that provides breakdown by type of expense ).* | | | | | | | | | |
| **Project/programme results**  **(outcomes/ outputs)** | **Project/programme specific Indicator** | | **Means of Verification (MoV)** | **Baseline** | | **Target** | | | **Assumptions / Note** |
| **Mid-term** | **Final** | |
| **Outcome 1** |  | | *Sources of information and methods used to collect and report data/information to measure progress against targets* | *The starting point or current value of the indicators before the implementation of the project* | | *The estimated value of the indicator at the mid-point of the implementation* | *The estimated value of the indicator at the completion of the implementation* | | *Externalities and factors outside project management's control that may impact on the Component. Data sources and methodologies applied for estimating baseline and targets* |
| Output 1.1 |  | |  |  | |  |  | |  |
| Output 1.2 |  | |  |  | |  |  | |  |
| **Outcome 2** |  | |  |  | |  |  | |  |
| Output 2.1 |  | |  |  | |  |  | |  |
| Output 2.2 |  | |  |  | |  |  | |  |
| **Project/programme co-benefit indicators** | | | | | | | | | |
| Co-benefit 1 |  | |  |  | |  |  | |  |
| Co-benefit 2 |  | |  |  | |  |  | |  |
| **4. Project/programme activities and deliverables** | | | | | | | | | |
| *All project activities should be listed here with a description and sub-activities. Significant deliverables should be also reflected in the project/programme Timetable (Annex 5). Add rows as needed.*  *Please number the activities as shown below to indicate association of activities to the related outputs provided above in section 5. Similarly, please number sub-activities as shown below to associate to the related activity.* | | | | | | | | | |
| **Output** | | **Activities** | | | **Description** | | | **Deliverables** | |
| *Please number each Output*  *Output 1.1, Output 1.2)* | | *List of the project activities below.* | | | *Provide a brief description of each of the activity listed in the previous column.* | | |  | |
| Output 1.1 | | Activity 1.1.1 | | |  | | |  | |
|  | | Activity 1.1.2 | | |  | | |  | |
| Output 1.2 | | Activity 1.2.1 | | |  | | |  | |
|  | | Activity 1.2.2 | | |  | | |  | |
| Output 2.1 | | Activity 2.1.1 | | |  | | |  | |
|  | | Activity 2.1.2 | | |  | | |  | |
| **5. Monitoring, reporting and evaluation arrangements (max. 300 words)** | | | | | | | | | |
| *Besides the arrangements (e.g. annual performance reports) laid out in Accreditation Master Agreement (AMA), please give a summary of the project/programme specific arrangements for monitoring, reporting and evaluation including a description of the monitoring and reporting system that will be used to assess the climate results of the proposed project/programme. Please also summarize the types of interim and final evaluations. Describe Accredited Entity (AE) project reporting relationships, including to the National Designated Authority (NDA)/Focal Point and between AE and Executing Entity (EE) as relevant, identifying reporting obligations from the EE to the AE.* | | | | | | | | | |

1. The IRMF Indicators are set out in the [Integrated Results Management Framework](https://www.greenclimate.fund/document/integrated-results-management-framework) [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. The final target means the target at the end of project/programme implementation period. However, for core indicator 1 (GHG emission reduction), please also provide the target value at the end of the total lifespan period which is defined as the maximum number of years over which the impacts of the investment are expected to be effective. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. The IRMF Indicators are set out in the [Integrated Results Management Framework](https://www.greenclimate.fund/document/integrated-results-management-framework) [↑](#footnote-ref-4)